

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/529,002 | 12/15/2005 | Joachim Haedicke | 2002P00990WOUS | 8810 |
| 46726 7599 902342011 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT | | | EXAM | MNER |
| | | | ROST, ANDREW J | |
| 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 3753 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/23/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Cont |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | 10/529,002 |
| Review | Andrew J. Rost |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-----|--|--|
| 10/529,002 | HAEDICKE ET | AL. | | |
| | Art Unit | | | |
| Andrew J. Rost | 3753 | | | |
| *** | | | | |

| Thie ie in reenonee to the | Pro-Anneal Brief | Dequeet for Deview | filed 31 | January 2011 |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------|

| This is in response to the Pre-Appea | I Brief Request for Review filed | 31 Jani | uary 2011. | | |
|---|--|-----------|---|--|--|
| Improper Request – The reason(s): | Request is improper and a cont | ference | will not be held for the following | | |
| ☐ The request does not inc | The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. Other: | | | | |
| The time period for filing a respo the mail date of the last Office of | The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. | | | | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filling an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filling of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | | | | | |
| ⊠ The panel has determing Claim(s) allowed: □ Claim(s) objected to: □ Claim(s) rejected: 14-18.20 Claim(s) withdrawn from column column. | | as follow | ws: | | |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | |
| 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | |
| All participants: | | | | | |
| (1) Andrew J. Rost. | (3) <u>Gre</u> | gory Hus | <u>son</u> . | | |
| (2) Stephen Hepperle. | (4) | | | | |
| /A. J. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3753 | /STEPHEN M HEPPERLE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Unit 3753 | r, Art | /Gregory L. Huson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3751 | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20110217